

New York State Agricultural Experiment Station



Disruption



Cornell Cooperative Extension



Two general mechanisms of mating disruption

(Objective: Suppression of sexual communication with synthetic pheromones)



Formulations of Pheromone Products used for Mating Disruption (Miller & Gut 2014)

Considerations behind the development of pheromone products

- release of pheromone over an extended period of time
- protection of active ingredient from degradation
- ease of application
- affordability
- extent to which finding of females by males is impeded

Strategies of pheromone dispenser distribution

- Densely distributed point sources
 - can range from 120-400/A (hand-applied reservoir dispensers) to several hundred million release points/A (sprayable microcapsules, flakes or fibers)
- Sparsely distributed point sources
 - can range from only a few units/A (mega dispensers such as puffers) to approximately 20 devices/A (meso dispensers such as plastic sachets)

Differences in application density, emission rate, & pheromone distribution

- higher deployment density → lower pheromone emission from individual point source
- dense formulations distributed via application process; sparse sources rely on wind

Examples of Pheromone Formulations

Hand-applied reservoir dispensers

- pheromone enclosed in plastic or dispersed in synthetic polymers
 - slowly diffuses from these reservoirs for up to 180 days
- hand-applied at rates of 200-400/A
- each releases up to several µg pheromone/hr
- limitations: high labor requirement for application → associated cost
- disruption relies mainly on competitive attraction
 - disruption strongest when the competing sources greatly outnumber females
- efficacy is greatly affected by (high) number of point sources, and (low) population density
- despite high degree of orientation disruption, complete efficacy has been elusive
 - the most 'bang for the buck' is obtained at lower application rates
 - growers often opt to use fewer dispensers
 & apply supplemental insecticides as needed





Examples of Pheromone Formulations

Meso dispensers

- Attempt to combine best qualities of reservoir dispensers, yet reduce application effort
- release substantially more pheromone than std hand-applied reservoirs
- can allow for much lower densities (8/A), less labor
- competitive attraction mechanism, but possibly also could operate by desensitization

Sprayable dispensers

- pheromone encapsulated in microscopic polymer capsules (20 µm avg. size)
- sprayed on crop at 100 million+/A
- deliver 8-40 g pheromone/A over 3-4 weeks
- easy to apply, reduced labor costs
- BUT short field life; inconsistent efficacy
- rapid decline in release rate, can be washed off
- frequent low-dose applications more effective
- non-competitive mechanism (desensitization or camouflage)



Examples of Pheromone Formulations

Mechanically applied dispensers

- Examples: flakes, fibers, wax droplets
- Designed to release pheromone at about the same rate as calling females ("female-equivalents")
- Competitive disruption mechanism
- Ease of application
- May only divert males' attention away from calling females for short period, allowing more opportunities to search for actual females

Mega dispensers

- Ultra-sparsely distributed pheromone sources: 1-2/A
- aerosol devices release large amts of sex attractants
- mg quantities released every 15-30 min over 6-12 hr
- controlled constant release rate, stable enivronment for pheromone prior to its release
- male captures within plume inhibited for considerable distances downwind of device
- low deployment density leaves areas with little pheromone coverage where mate finding can occur
- Edges a problem; supplemental border trt advised





CAUTION

Strategies for Reducing MD Failures

- Location, Location, Location !
 Block size, shape, and pest pressure
- Product selection
 Release rate, number of point sources



Monitoring

- Trap design, placement, management
- Lure selection (longevity, release rate)
- Supplemental treatments
 - Follow trapping thresholds
 - Focus on border controls

Location ! Location ! Location !







New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

Pheromone Disruptants Available

Isomate CM/OFM TT (200 ties/A)





Checkmate Sprayable OFM-F CM 2.0 Cidetrak CMDA Meso-A or OFM Meso-L (18-36 dispensers/A)

Checkmate Puffer (1-2 units/A)

Puffer Cl

CAUTION

Isomate CM/OFM Mist

WATE" CM M



Cornell Cooperative Extension

New York State Integrated Pest Management Program



Experiment Station

Management Approaches for



Problem Blocks with CM or OFM

- Monitor closely with pheromone traps
- Time sprays according to DDs and trap captures
- Use higher insecticide rates
- Tighten up spray intervals



- Supplement with mating disruption
 - hand-applied dispensers or mechanicals/sprayables
- Incorporate granulosis virus as long-term tactic



Cornell Cooperative Extension







NEWA Apple Insect Models

Select a pest:		Maria							
Codling Moth	Map Results	More In	nio						
State:	Codling Moth Results for Sodus (Lake)								
New York	First Trap Catch: 5/22/2017 First Trap Catch date above is estimated based on degree day accumulations or user input. Enter the actual date for blocks of interest and the model will calculate the protection period after first trap catch more accurately.								
Weather station: Sodus (Lake)									
Accumulation End Date: 06/01/2017	Accumulated degree days (base 50°F) first trap catch through 6/1/2017: 13500 days missing)								
		Past	Past	Current		Er	isuing 5 Da	ays	
Calculate	Date	May 30	May 31	Jun 1	Jun 2	Jun 3	Jun 4	Jun 5	Jun 6
	Daily Degree Days (Base 50BE)	16	17	7	6	9	5	8	5
	Accumulation since January 1	395	412	419	426	435	439	448	452
	Show Degree Day Graph								
Codling moth	Pest stage: Moths flying & first eggs laid								
pest stage	105	i staget i	ino ino ing i	ig a more	ggonala				
pest status	The pest stage above is estimated. Select the actual stage and the model will recalculate recommendations.								
pest management									
	Pest Status First eggs are laid at about 50 DD and			Pest Management					
				Apply insecticides that need to be present before egg laying					
	the first eggs usually hatch after about at about 50-75 DD. Apply insecticides that target early egg laving period at 100-200 DD. Pesticide information					rly egg			
				ing ing per		200 00.1	- obtivite II	ormanon	



OBLR 1st Summer Brood

- Moths start to fly the 1st or 2nd week of June
- Eggs laid immediately; young larvae begin feeding on foliage
- Eventually move to fruits; can web a leaf to fruit surface and feed underneath, or in area protected by clustered fruits
- Don't burrow into apple, but excavate along surface
- This larval generation can be found through July







Cornell Cooperative Extension

New York State Integrated Pest Management Program



New York State Agricultura Experiment Station

OBLR 2nd Summer Brood

- Moths start to fly the 1st or 2nd week of August
- Foliage is hardened off, so move preferentially to fruits
- Normally don't get too big before going into diapause
- Fruit damage is very subtle, can easily be overlooked
- Necrotic spots show up while fruit is in storage





Cornell Cooperative Extension

New York State Integrated Pest Management Program



New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

Important OBLR Life Events



Cornell Cooperative Extension provides equal program and employment opportunity.



New York State Integrated Pest Management Program



Monitoring 1st Summer Brood OBLR

Experiment Station

- Delta or wing-type pheromone trap
- June 1 hang at head height in each of 2-3 randomly chosen trees in block (edge and interior)
- Check traps 2-3 times/week until 1st moth caught; wait 600 DD (base 43°F) after this date
- Sample foliar terminals for larval infestations using sequential sample chart.
- If below threshold, sample again after 100 DD more have accumulated (approximately 3-5 days)
- Preferred products: Delegate, Altacor, Exirel, Proclaim, B.t., Intrepid; some pre-mixes (Besiege, Minecto Pro, Voliam Flexi)



Cornell Cooperative Extension

New York State Integrated Pest Management Program

3% Infestation Threshold STOP SAMPLING AND TREAT Number 3 Infested Sampling Continue STOP SAMPLING, DON'T TREAT 20 10 30 50 90 100 **Total Number Sampled**

OBLIQUEBANDED LEAFROLLER SAMPLING FORM



New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

EUROPEAN RED MITE LIFE HISTORY



RELATIONSHIP OF EUROPEAN RED MITE DENSITY TO DISTRIBUTION



Number of European Red Mites per Leaf

Cornell AgriTech

New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

SEQUENTIAL SAMPLING CHART FOR MITES







Cornell Cooperative Extension

New York State Integrated Pest Management Program



New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

Thresholds for Sampling Mites During the Summer

Period	Mite Threshold	Corresponding % of Leaves with mites
June 1 – 30	2.5 mites per leaf	62%
July 1 – 31	5.0 mites per leaf	76%
August 1 – 15	7.5 mites per leaf	85%
After August 15	10.0 mites per leaf	91%



Cornell Cooperative Extension

New York State Integrated Pest Management Program

Cornell AgriTech

New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

Some Guiding Principles of Mite Management

- Can be considered a 2-phase process:
- Early season program, against overwintering generation
- Summer program, against new populations
- Usually, a <u>preventive</u> approach (i.e., without need to sample) is advised for early season, depending on previous year's pressure:
 - Delayed dormant oil, an ovicide-larvacide (Apollo/Savey/Onager/Zeal) applied prebloom or (for Agri-Mek) after petal fall.
- For summer populations, scouting/sampling advised to pick up rapid mite increases on new foliage, especially during early summer when trees are most susceptible.
 - Thresholds increase as the summer goes on:
 - June: 2.5 ERM/leaf; July: 5.0 ERM/leaf; Aug: 7.5 ERM/leaf
 - When numbers of motiles (everything but eggs) reach or approach threshold, a "rescue" material can be recommended:

Acramite, Apollo, Envidor, Kanemite, Nealta, Nexter, Onager, Portal, Savey, Zeal



Cornell Cooperative Extension





Experiment Station

Principles of Apple Maggot Management

 Commercial apple orchards generally have no internal infestations of AM.

- AM management programs are designed to control flies immigrating into orchards from outside sources.
- Broad-spectrum organophosphate insecticides have historically been extremely effective in controlling AM.



Cornell Cooperative Extension





New York State Agricultura Experiment Station

Host Removal for Management of AM

 Unfortunately, it is normally not possible to remove all potential hosts for AM in close proximity to many commercial orchards. If possible, improved control can be obtained by removing all apple and hawthorn trees within 100 m of the borders of a commercial apple orchard.



Crataegus holmesiana





New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

AM Preferences for Different Apple Varieties

 Softer, earlier ripening varieties are most preferred for AM oviposition and favorable for larval survival: Ginger Gold, Jonagold, McIntosh, Wealthy, Cortland. Harder, late ripening varieties are least preferred: Rome, Red Delicious, Golden **Delicious, Northern Spy.**



Cornell Cooperative Extension



Apple Maggot Monitoring Traps









Disposable Volatile-Baited AM Sphere Trap

Evolution of Apple Maggot Sampling Procedures

1987

Before Calendarbased sprays after catch of 1st fly on yellow board trap.

Unbaited red sphere traps, checked 1-2x per week. Threshold: 1 fly caught After Volatile-baited sphere traps, same monitoring method. Threshold: 5/trap



New York State Agricultura Experiment Station

Assumptions in Apple Maggot Monitoring Programs

 AM traps are attractive only over a relatively short range (20-25 m). Protective residues from an insecticide (organophosphate) control spray will last only 10-14 days under typical Northeastern summer conditions.



Cornell Cooperative Extension





New York State Agricultura Experiment Station

Common Deviations from AM Monitoring Protocol

- AM traps used only for timing the first spray. Additional sprays are applied at regular intervals, regardless of trap catch.
 Entire farm's AM treatment program is based on catches in 1 or 2 monitored blocks.
 The recommended treatment threshold (avg. of
- The recommended treatment threshold (avg. of 5 flies/trap) is ignored.





1. The main goal of mating disruption is:

- A to repel all the male moths away from the females
- B to trick the male moths into mating with other moth species
 - C to "turn off" the males' searching behavior
 - D to interfere with the males' ability to find the females

2. Obliquebanded leafroller late season feeding damage is often not visible in the field.



Start the presentation to see live content. Still no live content? Install the app or get help at Pollev.com/app

3. The purpose of sampling for European red mites several times during the season is:

A – They're so small it could take more than one sample to get an accurate count

B – The treatment threshold changes according to the time of the season

C – It's necessary to count the adults in the spring and compare it with the immatures later in the summer

D – They change their appearance as the season progresses

4. Which of the following statements about apple maggot is true?

A – The main threat of attack comes from AM adults emerging from the orchard floor

B – AM control sprays should target alternate host volunteer apple and hawthorn trees near the orchard

C – Volatile-baited sphere traps have a higher threshold than yellow cards because they're more efficient at catching incoming adults

D – AM adults only attack trees on the edge of the block